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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.004 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.048$
$w R$ factor $=0.114$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=14.8$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## (E)- $N^{1}$-[(6-Chloropyridin-3-yl)methyl]- $N^{2}$-cyano-$N^{1}$-methylacetamidine

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{4}$, also known as acetamiprid, is a potent chemical found to exhibit insecticidal activity. The dihedral angle between the planes passing through the 6 -chloropyridine ring and the $N^{\prime}$-cyano- $N$-methylimidoformamide moiety is 76.7 (1) $\AA$. The crystal structure is stabilized by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ intermolecular interactions.

## Comment

An important aspect in the rational design of bioactive molecules involves relating chemical structure to biological activity (Lewis et al., 1991). The conformations of such molecules are found to influence their levels of biological activity. The correlation of results obtained from X-ray crystallography with biological activity has aided in the chemical design of a few active agrochemicals. The activity of a series of triazolyl ketone herbicides (Anderson et al., 1983) has been investigated, along with the fungicidal activities of $N$-phenyl succinamides (Zenei et al., 1988). Against this background, we present here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I).


The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The C8$\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 3$ and $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 4$ bond lengths are 1.328 (3), 1.312 (4) and 1.149 (4) $\AA$, respectively, highlighting the different electronic environment around each N atom. The $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 6-$ N 2 and $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 8$ torsion angles are 117.3 (3) and 101.9 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively.

The crystal structure of (I) is stabilized by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ intermolecular interactions, forming molecular chains related by a twofold screw [ $C(10)$ in the nomenclature of Etter (1990); Bernstein et al. (1995)] along the crystallographic $c$ axis (Fig. 2).

## Experimental

The title compound was obtained from Rallis India Limited, Bangalore. Single crystals of (I) were grown by slow evaporation of a methanol-ethylacetate mixture (1:1) at 278 K .
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Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and $H$ atoms have been omitted for clarity.

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \\
& M_{r}=222.68 \\
& \text { Orthorhombic, Pca } 2_{1} \\
& a=8.776(3) \AA \\
& b=11.780(5) \AA \\
& c=10.645(4) \AA \\
& V=1100.5(7) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=4 \\
& D_{x}=1.344 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

$$
T_{\min }=0.852, T_{\max }=0.970
$$

8291 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0576 P)^{2}\right.
$$ $+0.0103 P$ ]

where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.20 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.13$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
1006 Friedel pairs
Flack parameter $=-0.01(8)$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 875
reflections
$\theta=1.6-25.4^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.32 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Prism, colourless
$0.52 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.048$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.114$
$S=1.17$
2336 reflections
158 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

2336 independent reflections
1930 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.023$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.4^{\circ}$
$h=-10 \rightarrow 11$
$k=-14 \rightarrow 15$
$l=-13 \rightarrow 13$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 4^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.90(3)$ | $2.48(2)$ | $3.345(4)$ | $161(2)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $1-x,-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$.
Figure 2
A partial packing diagram for (I), highlighting the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ intermolecular interactions (dotted lines) forming molecular chains along the $c$ axis. The atom labelled N 4 is in the symmetry-related molecule at position $\left(1-x,-y, z-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ $=0.96 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {methyl }}\right)$. The remaining H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The refined $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths are in the range 0.88 (3)-0.99 (4) $\AA$.

Data collection: $S M A R T$ (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: $S M A R T$; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and CAMERON (Watkin et al., 1993); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2003).

The authors thank the Department of Science and Technology, India, for data collection on the CCD facility set up under the IRHPA-DST programme. DC thanks CSIR, India, for a Junior Research Fellowship.
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